UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/600,590	07/19/2000	BERNARD ASPAR	025219-268	5219
ROBERT E. KI	7590 03/13/200 <b>REBS</b>	8	EXAM	INER
THELEN REID P.O. BOX 6406	& PRIEST LLP		KRUER,	KEVIN R
SAN JOSE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/600,590	ASPAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KEVIN R. KRUER	1794
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clarer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a hory reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>23 March 2007</u> .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. <mark>[</mark>	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-17,35,51,52 and 54 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-17,35,51,52 and 54 is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 July 2000</u> is/are		·
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
, <del>_</del>	ie Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John 1 10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/23/2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 13-17, 35, 51, 52, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by "bonded...by molecular adhesion."

Claims 13-17, 35, 51, 52, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/600,590 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original disclosure does not contain support for the limitation "bonded...by molecular adhesion."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 13-17, 35, 51, 52, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bisaro et al (US 5,141,894).

Bisaro teaches a compliant substrate as depicted in Figure 4d. The laminate comprises a substrate (10), a monocrystalline zone (13) made by implanting ions through the substrate (col 4, lines 64+), a preliminary layer (11) on said monocrystalline zone (col 4, line 52+), an epitaxial growth layer (16) on said preliminary layer (col 5, lines 12+) which can be ion implanted (15), and a final layer of epitaxial growth layer (17) comprising GaAs. Ion that can be implanted include Mn, Al, Si, Cr, Fe, Ni Co, Cu, Ge, Sn, Zn Cd, Ti C, Cl, B, Ar, P, Le, Au, Ni, oxygen, hydrogen, fluorine, Si, Br, and S (col 3, lines 37+). The layers may comprise crystalline, semiconductor materials such as silicon, germanium, or the like (col 6, lines 34+). As the laminate is used as a compliant substrate for epitaxial growth, said microcrystalline zone and/or microcavities are understood to absorb in whole or in part the stresses brought to said compliant substrate.

With regard to claim 35 and the claims that depend therefrom, the ion implantation of the substrate reads on the claimed "bonding interface" of claim 35. The ion implantation of the substrate is taught to create anchoring points that are centered at a depth Rp and having a width of 2.35XR0 (col 3. lines 46+). The epitaxial growth layer reads on the claimed "thin layer" of claim 35. Said layer is herein understood to be "bonded...by molecular adhesion" because the layers would delaminate if there was not molecular adhesion present. The claimed "intermediate layer" of claim 15 is met by the preliminary layer (11). Bisaro teaches the intermediate layer may be made from GaAs (see Fig 4d), arsenic, gallium, Si, or a number of other materials (col 4, lines 57+). Since the layer is amorphous, the examiner takes the position it is inherently "non-homogeneous." The examiner notes said intermediate layer may be formed directly on the substrate (Fig 4a) and the bonding interface is located between the thin layer and the intermediate layer (see 4d).

With respect to claim 13, the bonding energy between the epitaxial growth layer (16) (which reads on the claimed "the thin layer") and the epitaxial growth layer (17) is altered by ion implantation. Ion implantation is known to affect the surface's roughness that would read on the claimed "defects."

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Bisaro does not teach that the structure is bonded on a surface of the carrier by molecular adhesion to constitute a bonding interface.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,590 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Furthermore, applicant argues Bisaro does not hint, teach, or suggest using molecular adhesion to bond the thin layer of the structure to the carrier. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The thin layer would not bond to the carrier layer unless there was some type of molecular adhesion between said layers.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN R. KRUER whose telephone number is (571)272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kevin R Kruer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

09/600,590         ASPAR ET AL.           Examiner         Art Unit	Application Number	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/600,590	ASPAR ET AL.	
KEVIN R KRIJER 1794		Examiner	Art Unit	
INDVITATE TO TO THE PART OF TH		KEVIN R. KRUER	1794	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080303